Pregnant and postpartum women and their newborn babies are typically drug tested in medical settings without their knowledge or explicit, informed consent. Positive toxicology results are too often reported to government officials and used to support criminal and civil child abuse or neglect prosecutions. As the U.S. Department of Justice has explained, “A positive test result, even when confirmed, only indicates that a particular substance is present in the test subject’s tissue. It does not indicate abuse or addiction, recency, frequency, or amount of use; or impairment.”

Thus, while such medical test results should never be used to prosecute women or accuse them of bad parenting, it is particularly concerning that the test results may not even be accurate or reliable. Yet clinical drug testing, without specific informed consent, is used as an excuse to intrude into people’s lives with grave consequences, including criminal proceedings and family separation. It is important to know the facts about clinical drug testing.

Clinical Drug Test Results Are Not Reliable & Are Not Forensic Evidence.

❖ A clinical drug test is an initial screening test done in a healthcare setting, and is meant to evaluate a patient’s health and to design an appropriate treatment plan.

❖ The most common clinical test is a urine test. A clinical drug test is qualitative, meaning it establishes that a chemical compound is present in the bodily fluid. If a clinical drug test is positive, it creates a presumption that a drug is present. It does not prove that the drug is present.

❖ To determine whether the positive clinical result is accurate, a forensic test must be done to confirm the result.

❖ A forensic drug test is a more rigorous drug test, which is why it meets evidentiary and testing requirements and protocols. It is a quantitative test, meaning it indicates how much of the chemical compound is present. Such tests, however, are also more expensive which is why healthcare providers often start with a clinical drug test.

Clinical Drug Test Results Often Show False Positives.

❖ A positive clinical test does not prove the patient was using a particular substance because many clinical test results are wrong and imprecise. A false positive may occur in two situations: when the chemical compound is not present at all (in other words the result is just wrong), or when the chemical compound is present but comes from a lawful source, like medication, but in any event the test result does not distinguish
between a positive for criminalized opioids, such as heroin, and non-criminalized opioids such as prescribed pain killers and the treatment medications methadone and buprenorphine. The test results are therefore not reliable and should not be treated as concrete proof that one used a particular substance, without at least confirmatory testing.

**Drug Tests May Be Conducted Improperly or Produce Inaccurate Results.**

- Examples from across the U.S. and abroad demonstrate the risks of contamination in laboratories and the resulting errors in test results and reporting. For example, between 2005 and 2015, the Motherisk Laboratory at the Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto tested more than 24,000 hair samples for drugs and alcohol, from over 16,000 different individuals, for child protection purposes. The results were introduced as evidence in court and resulted in both temporary and permanent loss of custody of children. An independent review in 2015 found this testing was “inadequate and unreliable” for use in child protection and criminal proceedings. In Houston, Texas, a leaky roof damaged specimens held in a police lab, and a state audit revealed serious contamination and employees lacking key qualifications and training required to conduct and interpret drug and DNA test results. The lab was shut down and several people convicted of crimes were exonerated.

**“Secret” Drug Testing Undermines the Doctor-Patient Relationship.**

- The use of drug testing without informed consent (especially without the patient’s knowledge), and the practice of reporting the results to government officials, violates physicians’ ethical responsibility and can deter women from obtaining prenatal and other healthcare during pregnancy. For women who are pregnant and actually have a substance use disorder, it can deter them from seeking treatment.

- That’s why major medical and public health associations, including the American Medical Association, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the American Academy of Pediatrics, and the American Society of Addiction Medicine oppose prosecution of pregnant women based on drug use.

**Drug Testing Practices Further Discrimination and Racial Profiling.**

- Current drug testing policies and practices disproportionately burden women of color. Despite the fact that drug use by Black and white women occurs at approximately the same rate in the U.S., numerous studies and investigative news reports find that Black mothers and infants born to Black mothers are more likely than those born to white mothers to have been screened or tested for criminalized drugs. As leading researchers in one study concluded, “providers seemed to have used race as a factor in deciding whether to screen an infant for maternal illicit drug use.”
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